

# NITROUS OXIDE FLUX FROM A SHRUB-STEPPE ECOSYSTEM: SOURCES AND REGULATION

D. L. MUMMEY<sup>1</sup>, J. L. SMITH<sup>2\*</sup> and H. BOLTON JR<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Sciences Department, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Mailstop K4-06, 900 Battelle Boulevard, Richland WA 99352 and <sup>2</sup>USDA-ARS, 215 Johnson Hall, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-6421, U.S.A.

(Accepted 4 August 1993)

Summary-The semi-arid shrub-steppe is the largest grassland-type ecosystem of North America and may make significant contributions to the global atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O budget. However, little information is available concerning sources and regulation of  $N_2O$  flux in this ecosystem. Experiments were made to determine the relative importance of nitrification, denitrification and abiotic sources to total N<sub>2</sub>O flux and to investigate the factors regulating N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates from an undisturbed shrub-steppe ecosystem. The contributions to N<sub>2</sub>O flux by nitrification and denitrification were estimated using acetylene (10 Pa) to selectively inhibit N<sub>2</sub>O production by nitrifiers. Abiotic sources of N<sub>2</sub>O were evaluated using sterilized soil. Factors limiting  $N_2O$  production were evaluated by monitoring  $N_2O$  flux rates from soil-cores amended with combinations of  $NO_3^--N$ ,  $NH_4^+-N$ , soluble C and water. The effect of wet-dry cycles on N<sub>2</sub>O flux was determined by wetting field dry soil to field capacity and monitoring N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates, soil NH4-N, NO3-N and water content throughout a drying period. Our results showed that nitrification accounts for 61-98% of the N<sub>2</sub>O produced from soil at water contents below saturation and that denitrification is the primary N2O source at saturated water contents. No detectable N2O was produced by abiotic sources. In intact soil cores N2O flux rates were found to be most limited by water and N availability. Wetting of dry soil resulted in a pulse of  $N_2O$  flux due to increased N availability. It is likely that this ecosystem exhibits relatively low  $N_2O$  flux rates for much of the year due to low soil moisture and inorganic N contents. Since soil moisture content is generally well below field capacity in this ecosystem, nitrification must be the dominant N2O source. These results suggest that conditions favorable for substantial N<sub>2</sub>O production in shrub-steppe ecosystems probably exist only at times following precipitation events.

### INTRODUCTION

Gaseous nitrous oxide (N2O) is one of the chemicallyreactive greenhouse gases in the atmosphere responsible for the catalytic destruction of stratospheric ozone (Dickenson and Cicerone, 1986; Cicerone, 1987). Interest in identifying sources and regulation of N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates from various ecosystems has been stimulated by the finding that atmospheric  $N_2O$  has increased from the time monitoring began in the 1970s (Rasmussen and Kahlil, 1986). Research has recently shifted from  $N_2O$  emissions due to fossil fuel combustion and the use of nitrogen fertilizers, which make relatively small contributions to the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget (Davidson, 1991), to emissions from undisturbed terrestrial ecosystems. N<sub>2</sub>O production from soil in undisturbed natural ecosystems is the least well quantified of the known N<sub>2</sub>O sources (Wuebbles and Edmonds, 1988). Undisturbed arid and semi-arid ecosystems have received less attention than any of the other major ecosystems but may contribute as much as 30% of the total gaseous N emissions to the atmosphere from terrestrial ecosystems (Bowden, 1986).

Denitrification and nitrification are considered to

be the most important sources of  $N_2O$  from soils. The denitrification process is regulated by several factors including the availability of nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ), reduced forms of carbon and  $O_2$  (Knowles, 1982). Whereas nitrification is predominantly regulated by ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) availability (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). The amount of  $N_2O$  produced by nitrification relative to  $NO_3^-$  is thought to increase as  $O_2$  partial pressure (Goreau *et al.*, 1980; Poth and Focht, 1985) or pH (Martikainen, 1985) decrease. Substrate availability for each of these microbial processes is determined by the relative rates of Nmineralization and N-assimilation by plants and microbes and by diffusional constraints.

The semi-arid shrub-steppe is the largest grasslandtype region in North America, totaling over 64,500,000 ha (Rogers and Rickard, 1988). Despite the potential importance of N<sub>2</sub>O losses from the shrub-steppe to global atmospheric chemistry little information is available on how processes resulting in N<sub>2</sub>O production from shrub-steppe ecosystems are regulated.

No attempts have been made to identify sources of  $N_2O$  from shrub-steppe ecosystems, however, Parton *et al.* (1988) found that nitrifiers accounted for 60-80% of the total  $N_2O$  flux from a semiarid shortgrass steppe ecosystem in Colorado where soil

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence.

water content is usually too low to favor denitrification. In an early successional forest ecosystem soil core studies showed that 50% of the N<sub>2</sub>O produced was from nitrification (Robertson and Tiedje, 1987). In addition, Davidson *et al.* (1993) reported that nitrification was the dominant source of N<sub>2</sub>O in soil from a seasonally-dry tropical forest.

Matson *et al.* (1991) examined the temporal and spatial variation in  $N_2O$  flux rates from a Wyoming shrub-steppe ecosystem and its relationship to soil N characteristics. They found a positive relationship between  $N_2O$  flux and soil  $NO_3^-$  concentration. However, the variation in  $N_2O$  flux rates could not be explained on the basis of variation in soil N pools alone.

Soils in the shrub-steppe undergo wetting periods followed by long periods of desiccation, resulting in microbial semi-dormancy (Rickard, 1988). Birch (1958), Van Schreven (1967), Sorensen (1974), Marumoto *et al.* (1982) and Kieft *et al.* (1987) have shown that air dried soils have generally larger C and N mineralization rates upon wetting than soils which remain wet. Wetting of air dry soils is also known to result in pulses of N<sub>2</sub>O flux from denitrification (Patten *et al.*, 1980; Groffman and Tiejde, 1988; Rudaz *et al.*, 1991) and nitrification (Rudaz *et al.*, 1991; Davidson *et al.*, 1993). Therefore soil water potential dynamics is a critical controlling factor for nutrient cycling processes in semi-arid ecosystems.

Knowledge of the sources of  $N_2O$  and the factors limiting  $N_2O$  flux rates in semi-arid ecosystems are important for understanding (i) spatial and temporal variability, thus facilitating quantification (ii) the effects of ecosystem disturbance, and (iii) how flux rates may change in response to climatic changes. Our objectives were to determine the importance of nitrification, denitrification and abiotic sources to  $N_2O$  flux and to investigate the roles played by soil moisture content, nutrient availability and soil wetting events in regulating  $N_2O$  flux rates from an undisturbed shrub-steppe ecosystem.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Study site and soil

The study site is located on the Arid Lands Ecology reserve (ALE) within the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford Site in south central Washington State. The ALE site has been unaltered by human disturbance since the early 1940s. The dominant plant-species are *Artemisia tridentata* (big sagebrush) intermixed with the perennial grasses *Elytrigia spicatum* (bluebunch wheatgrass) and *Poa secunda* (Sandberg bluegrass). A soil crust consisting of cryptograms, lichens, moss and algae is found in all undisturbed interplant areas. The soil at the study site is classified as coarse-silty, mixed, mesic, Xerollic Camborthid. The site has a semi-arid climate, receiving two-thirds of the 220 mm annual precipitation in the winter (Rickard, 1988).

#### Soil sampling methods

Soil-cores were obtained using PVC pipe sections (5 cm dia  $\times$  10 cm) which were beveled at one end to facilitate insertion into the soil while minimizing compaction. The pipes were driven into the soil until the tops were flush with the soil surface. Tight clusters of six soil-cores were taken from points arranged in a 2.4 m square grid centered around the base of an A. tridentata shrub. The bottom of each soil-core was covered with plastic and sealed with masking tape prior to transport to the laboratory. Several smaller soil-cores (10 mm dia  $\times$  10 cm depth) were sampled at the same time immediately adjacent to each six soil-core cluster for analysis of initial soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NH<sup>+</sup>-N, and moisture contents. The inorganic-N concentrations of the 10 mm soil-cores were considered to be similar to that of the associated six soil-core cluster. Soil-cores were collected on 27 April 1992 and transferred to cold storage (4°C) within 24 h.

## Sources of N<sub>2</sub>O

The N<sub>2</sub>O produced by abiotic sources was determined using autoclaved soil-cores. Field dry soilcores were autoclaved in loosely sealed canning jars (121°C, 40 min) and allowed to equilibrate to room temperature for 24 h. Soil-cores were then moistened to 65% water holding capacity (WHC) with sterile distilled water and kept for 24 h. Jar headspace N<sub>2</sub>O content was determined at 4 and 24 h by gas chromatography (g.c.). For our purposes water holding capacity (WHC) was the water content (w/w) at saturation or a matric potential of 0 kPa. The % water holding capacity of this soil corresponding to a water potential of -33 kPa is 77%.

The contribution of nitrification and denitrification to total N<sub>2</sub>O flux was determined using sieved (2 mm), field dry soil (0.07% WHC) from a composite of nine randomly-selected soil-cores (5 cm dia  $\times$  10 cm depth). 10 g samples were kept in 40 ml serum bottles at four soil moisture contents (0.07, 36, 72, and 100% WHC). These water contents span the range of water contents thought to be optimal for N<sub>2</sub>O production by nitrification and denitrification (Davidson, 1991). Four replicates at each moisture content were sealed in serum bottles using gas sampling valves for screw-top bottles and used to quantify N<sub>2</sub>O produced by both nitrification and denitrification. A second set of replicate soil samples were treated with the same moisture contents and kept at 10 Pa  $C_2H_2$  immediately after sealing the serum bottles to inhibit N2O production from nitrification (Davidson et al., 1986).

To determine if N availability affects the partitioning of N<sub>2</sub>O flux between nitrification and denitrification additional samples with or without  $C_2H_2$  were moistened to 36 and 72% WHC and amended with  $100 \ \mu g \ NO_3^{-}$ -N g<sup>-1</sup> soil plus  $100 \ \mu g \ NH_4^{+}$ -N g<sup>-1</sup> soil. Gas samples from all bottles were removed at 4 and 24 h for the analysis of  $N_2O$ .

A partial pressure of 10 Pa  $C_2H_2$  is known to irreversibly inhibit the ammonia monooxygenase enzyme of nitrifying microorganisms while having little effect upon the nitrous oxide reductase enzyme of denitrification (Davidson *et al.*, 1986; Klemedtsson *et al.*, 1988). Thus the total N<sub>2</sub>O flux is the water-only treatment, N<sub>2</sub>O flux from denitrification is the water plus 10 Pa  $C_2H_2$  treatment, and N<sub>2</sub>O flux from nitrification is the difference between the water-only treatment and the water-plus 10 Pa  $C_2H_2$  treatment.

## Factors limiting N<sub>2</sub>O production

To determine the factors affecting  $N_2O$  production from soil in this ecosystem, a laboratory experiment was made using intact soil-cores. Three groups of cores were collected to (i) test moisture effects with no N additions, (ii) test nutrient effects at one moisture content, and (iii) evaluate the role of immobilization as a controller of  $N_2O$  flux.

The effects of moisture on  $N_2O$  production were examined by moistening a group of soil cores to four different water contents (Table 1). Field dry soil cores served as controls for this experiment.

A second set of soil cores were used to evaluate nutrient limitations on  $N_2O$  production. These soil cores were amended with C or N in the moistening solution (Table 1). All nutrient treatments were delivered in distilled  $H_2O$  to bring the soil to 65% WHC. This water content is conducive to  $N_2O$  production by both nitrification and denitrification as suggested by the results of the  $N_2O$  source experiments (explained previously). A control soil-core was collected in the field immediately adjacent to each soil-core used for nutrient treatment. This allowed each soilcore used in the nutrient limitation experiment to have a control soil-core from close to the same field location. Control soil-cores received only water.

A third set of soil-cores were used to verify *in situ* activity of denitrification enzymes and the role of N assimilation during N<sub>2</sub>O production. These soil-cores were subjected to identical treatments (Table 1), with the addition of 300 mg chloramphenicol  $1^{-1}$  in the added solution. The nutrient treated soil-cores not receiving chloramphenicol served as controls for this experiment.

Chloramphenicol is a broad-spectrum bacteriostatic agent that inhibits new protein synthesis by

 Table 1. Water and nutrient treatments used to elucidate the limiting factors for N<sub>2</sub>O production

Water treatments		
-kPa	WHC (%)	Nutrient treatments at 65% WHC* (g <sup>-1</sup> soil)
-92	40	100 µg glucose-C
74	50	$20 \mu g NO_1 - N$
-51	65	$100 \mu g$ glucose-C + $20 \mu g$ NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N
-26	81	20 µg NH <sup>+</sup> -N
		$100 \ \mu g \ glucose-C + 20 \ \mu g \ NH_4^+-N$

\*Percent of water holding capacity.

binding to ribosomes (Brooks *et al.*, 1992), therefore halting *de novo* synthesis of enzymes including those of the pathways resulting in N<sub>2</sub>O production and N assimilation by both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. Brooks *et al.* (1992) found that chloramphenicol completely inhibited N<sub>2</sub>O production when added at the beginning of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-block incubations.

For each of the three experiments four soil-cores were subjected to each of the treatments listed in Table 1 and incubated in 475 ml glass jars equipped with gas sampling ports. Water and nutrient solutions were added in equal amounts to the top and bottom of the soil-cores to ensure even water distribution. Headspace gas samples from each soil-core were taken at 4 and 24 h following wetting and immediately analyzed for  $N_2O$  and  $CO_2$  content by g.c.

# Wet-dry cycle

A laboratory procedure was used to investigate the dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O production and inorganic soil N transformations following rapid changes in water potential. Nine field dry soil-cores (0.07% WHC) were pooled and repeatedly sieved (2 mm) to ensure soil mixing. Dry soil (10 g) was placed in tared serum bottles and moistened to field capacity (ca 77% WHC) with distilled  $H_2O$ . Immediately following, the serum bottles were weighed to determine their initial water content. Serum bottles were not sealed and were kept at laboratory temperature. Periodically four serum bottles were sealed for 2 h, using valves for screw-top bottles, to allow N<sub>2</sub>O to accumulate in the headspace. Gas samples were then taken for analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O concentration followed by the determination of gravimetric soil water content and soil  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N concentrations.

# Analytical methods

 $N_2O$  and  $CO_2$  were determined using a g.c. equipped with a <sup>63</sup>Ni electron capture detector. Gas samples were transferred directly from incubation vessels to the g.c. using syringes with airtight stopcocks. Concentrations were corrected for dissolved  $N_2O$  in the liquid fraction (Tiedje, 1982). Soil  $NO_3^-$ -N and  $NH_4^+$ -N extracts were prepared by shaking soil with 2.5 M KCl for 1 h and filtering the mixture through washed, medium-fast filters. The  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N concentrations in the extracts were determined using a colorimetric continuous-flow analyzer.

#### RESULTS

## Sources of N<sub>2</sub>O

No detectable  $N_2O$  was evolved from field dry soil (0.07% WHC) or from autoclaved soil cores during the 24 h incubation, suggesting that abiotic  $N_2O$ production from soil in this ecosystem is negligible.

For soil treatments not receiving supplemental N, nitrifiers were responsible for 97.8 and 93.7% of the total  $N_2O$  produced at 36 and 72% WHC respectively



Fig. 1.  $N_2O$  produced by nitrification and denitrification in water and water plus nutrient treatments. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05.  $N_2O$ concentration was analyzed at 4 and 24 h.

(Fig. 1). For treatments receiving supplemental N, nitrifiers were responsible for 82.5 and 61% of the total N<sub>2</sub>O in the 36 and 72% WHC treatments respectively (Fig. 1). Nitrification is the prominent N<sub>2</sub>O source in this soil when water contents are below saturation. However, under saturated conditions (100% WHC) the difference in  $N_2O$  production for the  $C_2H_2$  treatment and treatment with water only were negligible, suggesting that denitrification is the dominant N<sub>2</sub>O-producing process. Total N<sub>2</sub>O produced and denitrifier N<sub>2</sub>O production increased with increased soil water content (Fig. 1). Nitrate-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N amendments did not significantly increase the total N<sub>2</sub>O production in the 36 or 72% WHC treatments, however, the additions resulted in a greater proportion of N<sub>2</sub>O from denitrification (Fig. 1). The increased N<sub>2</sub>O from denitrification was only statistically significant (P < 0.01) for the 72% WHC plus N treatment.

# Factors limiting N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates

Nitrous oxide flux rates from intact soil cores were greatest in the 50% WHC treatment and decreased in the wetter and drier treatments (Fig. 2). The only significant difference (P < 0.05) in N<sub>2</sub>O flux was found between the 50 and 40% WHC treatments. The 50% WHC treatment cores also had significantly more NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N after 24 h (data not shown) and higher CO<sub>2</sub> flux rates (data not shown) than the other water-only treatments. No significant differences in inorganic-N concentrations were noted among the 40, 65, and 81% WHC treatments. When values for all soil samples receiving a water only treatment were combined, N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates were found to be more strongly correlated with soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>4</sup>-N content after incubation ( $r^2 = 0.77$ ) than NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N



Fig. 2. Effect of water additions on N<sub>2</sub>O production from intact soil cores. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05. N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates were calculated from the change in N<sub>2</sub>O headspace concentration between 4 and 24 h after moistening.



Fig. 3. Relative change in intact soil cores receiving supplemental C and N at 65% WHC over water only control soil cores. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05. N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates were calculated from the change in headspace N<sub>2</sub>O concentration between 4 and 24 h after moistening.



Fig. 4. Changes in  $N_2O$  flux rate and soil  $H_2O$  after moistening air-dry soil.

content after incubation  $(r^2 = 0.48)$  or CO<sub>2</sub> flux rate  $(r^2 = 0.63)$ .

Figure 3 shows the effect of C and N additions on N<sub>2</sub>O production, each set of treated cores is compared to its own untreated control core. Soil-cores receiving supplemental glucose-C without supplemental N had significantly (P < 0.05) lower N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates than control soil-cores receiving only water (Fig. 3). Soil-cores receiving supplemental  $NO_3^--N$  or NH<sup>+</sup>-N, with or without supplemental C, exhibited greater N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates than control soil-cores (Fig. 3) with only the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N treatment being significantly greater than the control (P < 0.05). Ammonium amended soil-cores yielded the greatest N2O flux rates and soil-cores amended with  $100 \,\mu g \,C \,g^{-1}$  soil plus NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N yielded lower N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates than treatments receiving only NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (Fig. 3).

Treatment of C-only amended soil cores with chloramphenicol, preventing cell protein synthesis, resulted in a 3-5 fold increase in N<sub>2</sub>O production in contrast to soil-cores receiving supplemental N and chloramphenicol which showed, on average, a 50% decrease in N<sub>2</sub>O production over 24 h (data not shown). This suggests that *de novo* enzyme synthesis for N<sub>2</sub>O production and N assimilation is an important factor in short-term N<sub>2</sub>O flux rate studies.

# Wet-dry cycle

Moistening of air-dried soil to field capacity resulted in a sharp pulse of N<sub>2</sub>O flux during the subsequent 60 h (Fig. 4). Although N<sub>2</sub>O production was detected within 2 h, a lag was observed in which N<sub>2</sub>O flux increased slowly, followed by a sharp increase in rate after 5 h. Increased soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N was observed within 2 h of moistening the dry soil (Fig. 5). Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N peaked at 5 h and fell to below initial concentrations at the end of the incubation (433 h). The N<sub>2</sub>O flux rate was significantly correlated with soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentration ( $r^2 = 0.67$ , P < 0.05), while there was no significant correlation between N<sub>2</sub>O flux and soil moisture or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N content. Soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration increased sharply within 2 h of moistening, indicating that nitrifier activity resumes rapidly following rewetting. Soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N began to decrease when soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentration decreased to  $ca 5 \mu g N g^{-1}$  soil at 192 h, suggesting that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N assimilation was greater than production at this time.

### DISCUSSION

Nitrification is the dominant  $N_2O$ -producing process at all but saturated soil conditions when it is then surpassed by denitrification (Fig. 1). In a 2 yr study, Wildung *et al.* (1975) reported maximum soil moisture contents in this ecosystem were less than field capacity. The low soil moisture content commonly found in this ecosystem allows surface soils to remain relatively well aerated, thus retarding the overall contribution of denitrification to total  $N_2O$  production. Therefore, on a yearly basis nitrification is likely to be the dominant source of  $N_2O$  in this ecosystem.

The relative importance of nitrification to  $N_2O$  flux from most terrestrial ecosystems is poorly defined. However, nitrification is known to make substantial contributions to the total  $N_2O$  produced by some fertilized agricultural soils (Bremner and Blackmer, 1978; Goodroad and Keeney, 1984), some forest soils (Martikainen, 1985; Robertson and Ticdje, 1987) and following wetting of a seasonally dry tropical forest soil (Davidson *et al.*, 1993). Parton *et al.* (1988) found that nitrification accounted for 60–80% of the total  $N_2O$  flux from a semi-arid shortgrass steppe ecosystem in Colorado. The results of Parton *et al.* (1988) and our own study suggest that nitrification may be the dominant  $N_2O$  source from semi-arid grassland-type ecosystems.

Determining the factors limiting  $N_2O$  flux from shrub-steppe soil revealed several environmental and chemical variables regulating  $N_2O$  flux. First, field



Fig. 5. Changes in  $N_2O$  flux rate and soil  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N content after moistening air-dry soil.

dry soil produced no detectable N<sub>2</sub>O, while N<sub>2</sub>O production was detected from all soil receiving water amendments (Fig. 2). This indicates that N2O production is controlled by soil moisture content and that little N<sub>2</sub>O production can be expected during the dry months of June to September (Rickard, 1988). Second, soil cores receiving supplemental N produced more N<sub>2</sub>O than control soil cores receiving only water (Fig. 3), indicating that N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates are also limited by N availability. Due to high variance and small sample size only the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N treatment produced significantly (P < 0.05) greater N<sub>2</sub>O than the control. However, N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates were stimulated by either  $NO_3^-N$  or  $NH_4^+-N$  (Fig. 3) suggesting that both nitrification and denitrification contribute to N<sub>2</sub>O flux at 65% WHC. Third, additions of C reduced N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates (Fig. 3), suggesting that N immobilization is important in regulating N<sub>2</sub>O production. If the immobilization process is inhibited more N should be available for nitrification or denitrification. Treatment of C-amended cores with chloramphenicol, which presumably inhibits immobilization of N, resulted in greater N<sub>2</sub>O production, confirming that N immobilization is a highly competitive process with respect to nitrification and denitrification. In the N-amended soil-cores de novo enzyme synthesis was inhibited with chloramphenicol resulting in less N<sub>2</sub>O production than control soilcores. This suggests that the induction and rapid production of the enzymes of N<sub>2</sub>O producing pathways is important to the overall magnitude of N<sub>2</sub>O production.

The results of the wet-dry cycle experiment clearly show that relatively large pulses of N<sub>2</sub>O and Nmineralization occur in this soil after wetting (Figs 4 and 5) and that N<sub>2</sub>O production is positively correlated with soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N content ( $r^2 = 0.67$ , P < 0.05). Increased N-mineralization following wetting of dry soil is generally thought to be due to the release of readily-decomposable organic matter into the soil environment from non-living organic matter and from the death of the microbial population after stress from desiccation and rapid changes in water potential. Christenson et al. (1990) found that addition of dead bacterial cells to an anaerobic soil slurry doubled denitrification activity within 2 h. Following a wetting event, carbon and nitrogen availability is often high (Smith et al., 1985). Biomass-C released into the soil environment upon rapid change in water potential in two California grassland soils was shown to range from between 17 to 70% of the total biomass-C initially present (Kieft et al., 1987).

Ammonium availability initially increased then declined after reaching a peak at 8 h (Fig. 5). The decline in  $NH_4^+$ -N availability is probably due to a combination of immobilization, nitrification and gaseous losses. Ammonium is generally considered to be the preferred N-source for microorganisms (Rice and Tiedje, 1989; Jackson *et al.*, 1989) and nitrifying bacteria are generally considered to be poor competitors for  $NH_4^+$ -N relative to heterotrophic microorganisms (Verhagen and Laanbroek, 1991). The inorganic N turnover estimated from the magnitude of N change from Fig. 5 suggests that inorganic N was turned over at least once during the incubation period.

Nitrate losses, presumably due to immobilization reactions and gaseous loss, surpassed production when soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N content decreased to  $ca 5 \mu g g^{-1}$ soil (Fig. 5) even though NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentrations of 0.1  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> soil are known to effectively inhibit NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N assimilation by soil microbes (Jackson et al., 1989; Rice et al., 1989). The presence of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-depleted microsites may allow for assimilation of soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, which is more mobile in the soil environment than  $NH_4^+$ . Soil N<sub>2</sub>O flux dropped to low rates while NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N was still being produced (Fig. 5) suggesting that when substrate concentrations were at an optimum for denitrification soil aeration status was unfavorable for the process. Nitrate immobilization occurring after 200 h (Fig. 5) may limit substrate availability for denitrification during subsequent wetting events when other conditions are favorable.

Our results indicate that  $N_2O$  flux from this shrubsteppe ecosystem is regulated by interactions between soil water content, and N-mineralization and Nimmobilization processes. Low soil moisture content and intense competition among microorganisms and plants for available N probably result in low N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates for much of the year. However, even though moisture and inorganic N are generally low for this shrub-steppe ecosystem, after wetting available substrate and conditions for N<sub>2</sub>O loss increase considerably. In addition, soil N and C pools, N-mineralization rates and microbial biomass are known to be associated spatially with vegetation in this ecosystem (Bolton et al., 1990, 1993) and therefore areas under plant canopies would be expected to produce more N<sub>2</sub>O than interplant areas following precipitation events. Thus on a ecosystem basis conditions may only be favorable for appreciable N<sub>2</sub>O production after precipitation events and mostly in soil associated with plant cover.

Nitrification accounts for over 60% of the N<sub>2</sub>O from this ecosystem which is consistent with estimates from shortgrass steppe (60-80%) (Parton et al., 1988), humid forest (50%) (Robertson and Tiedje, 1987) and dry tropical forest ecosystems (Davidson et al., 1993). From the core experiments and periodic field measurements (data not presented) we estimated the annual N<sub>2</sub>O flux from this ecosystem to be 0.15 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>. This estimate is less than a 0.21 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> estimate for a Wyoming shrub-steppe ecosystem (Matson et al., 1991) and greater than a 0.10 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> estimate for a shortgrass steppe (Parton et al., 1988) and a 0.10 kg  $N_2O-N$  ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> estimate for Wisconsin prairies (Goodroad and Keeney, 1984). Further research is needed to quantify the spatial relationship between

vegetation and  $N_2O$  flux in different ecosystems and to determine the importance of nitrification in the total annual  $N_2O$  flux from all undisturbed terrestrial ecosystems.

Acknowledgements—We gratefully acknowledge the skilled technical assistance of Debbie Bikfasy. This research was supported in part by the Ecological Research Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, U.S. Department of Energy. Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the DOE by Battelle Memorial Institute.

#### REFERENCES

- Birch H. F. (1958) The effect of soil drying on humus decomposition and nitrogen availability. *Plant and Soil* 10, 9-32.
- Bolton H. Jr, Smith J. L. and Link S. O. (1993) Soil microbial biomass and activity of a disturbed and undisturbed shrub-steppe ecosystem. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25, 545-552.
- Bolton H. Jr, Smith J. L. and Wildung R. E. (1990) Nitrogen mineralization potential of shrub-steppe soils with different disturbance histories. Soil Science Society of America Journal 54, 887-891.
- Bowden W. B. (1986) Gaseous nitrogen emissions from undisturbed terrestrial ecosystems: an assessment of their impacts on local and global nitrogen budgets. *Biogeo*chemistry 2, 249-279.
- Bremner J. M. and Blackmer A. M. (1978) Nitrous oxide: emission from soils during nitrification of fertilizer nitrogen. Science 199, 295–296.
- Brooks M. H., Smith R. L. and Macalady D. L. (1992) Inhibition of existing denitrification enzyme activity by chloramphenicol. *Applied and Environmental Micro*biology 58, 1746–1753.
- Christensen S., Simkins S. and Tiedje J. M. (1990) Temporal patterns of soil denitrification: their stability and causes. Soil Science Society of America Journal 54, 1614–1618.
- Cicerone R. J. (1987) Changes in stratospheric ozone. Science 237, 35-42.
- Davidson E. A. (1991) Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from terrestrial ecosystems. In Microbial Production and Consumption of Greenhouse Gases: Methane, Nitrogen Oxides, and Halomethanes (J. E. Rogers and W. B. Whitman, Eds), pp. 219–236. American Society for Microbiology, Washington.
- Davidson E. A., Swank W. T. and Perry T. O. (1986) Distinguishing between nitrification and denitrification as sources of gaseous nitrogen production in soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 52, 1280-1286.
- Davidson E. A., Matson P. A., Vitousek P. M., Riley R., Dunkin K., Garcia-Mendez G. and Maass J. M. (1993) Processes regulating soil emissions of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O in a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Ecology* 74, 130–139.
- Dickenson R. E. and Cicerone R. J. (1986) Future global warming for atmospheric trace gases. *Nature* 319, 109-115.
- Firestone M. K. and Davidson E. A. (1989) Microbiological basis of NO and  $N_2O$  production and consumption in soil. In *Exchange of Trace Gases Between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere* (M. O. Andreae and D. S. Schimel, Eds), pp. 7–21. Wiley, New York.
- Goodroad L. L. and Keeney D. R. (1984) Nitrous oxide production in aerobic soils undr varying pH, temperature and water content. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 16, 39–43.
- Goreau T. J., Kaplan W. A., Wofsy F. C., McElroy M. B., Valois F. W. and Watson S. W. (1980) Production of NO<sub>2</sub>- and N<sub>2</sub>O by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 40, 526-532.

- Groffman P. M. and Tiedje J. M. (1988) Denitrification hysteresis during wetting and drying cycles in soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 52, 1626–1629.
- Jackson L. E., Schimel J. P. and Firestone M. K. (1989) Short-term partitioning of ammonium and nitrate between plants and microbes in an annual grassland. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 21, 409-415.
- Kieft T. L., Soroker E. and Firestone M. K. (1987) Microbial biomass response to a rapid increase in water potential when dry soil is wetted. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 19, 119-126.
- Klemedtsson L. M., Svensson B. H. and Rosswall T. (1988) A method of selective inhibition to distinguish between nitrification and denitrification as sources of nitrous oxide in soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 6, 112-119.
- Knowles R. (1982) Denitrification. Microbiological Reviews 46, 43–70.
- Martikainen P. J. (1985) Nitrous oxide emission associated with autotrophic ammonium oxidation in acid coniferous forest soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 50, 1519–1525.
- Marumoto T., Anderson J. P. E. and Domsch K. H. (1982) Mineralization of nutrients from soil microbial biomass. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 14, 469-475.
- Matson P. A., Volkmann C., Coppinger K. and Reiners W. A. (1991) Annual nitrous oxide flux and soil nitrogen characteristics in sagebrush steppe ecosystems. *Biogeo*chemistry 14, 1-12.
- Parton W. J., Mosier A. R. and Schimel D. S. (1988) Rates and pathways of nitrous oxide production in a shortgrass steppe. *Biogeochemistry* 6, 45–58.
- Patten D. K., Bremner J. M. and Blackmer A. M. (1980) Effects of drying and air-dry storage of soils on their capacity for denitrification of nitrate. Soil Science Society of America Journal 44, 67–70.
- Poth M. and Focht D. D. (1985) <sup>15</sup>N kinetic analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O production by Nitrosomonas europaea: an examination of nitrifier denitrification. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 49, 1134–1141.
- Rasmussan R. A. and Kahlil M. A. K. (1986) Atmospheric trace gases: trends and distributions over the last decade. *Science* 232, 1623–1624.
- Rice C. W. and Tiedje J. M. (1989) Regulation of nitrate assimilation by ammonium in soils and in isolated soil microorganisms. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 24, 597-602.
- Rickard W. H. (1988) Climate of the Hanford site. In Shrub-Steppe Balance and Change in a Semi-Arid Terrestrial Ecosystem (W. H. Rickard, L. E. Rogers, B. E. Vaughan and S. F. Liebetrau, Eds), pp. 13-21. Elsevier, New York.
- Robertson G. P. and Tiedje J. M. (1987) Nitrous oxide sources in aerobic soils: nitrification, denitrification and other biological sources. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 19, 187–193.
- Rogers L. E. and W. H. Rickard (1988) Introduction: shrub-steppe lands. In Shrub-Steppe Balance and Change in a Semi-Arid Terrestrial Ecosystem (W. H. Rickard, L. E. Rogers, B. E. Vaughan and S. F. Liebetrau, Eds), pp. 1-12. Elsevier, New York.
- Rudaz A. O., Davidson E. A. and Firestone M. K. (1991) Sources of nitrous oxide production following wetting of dry soil. FEMS Microbial Ecology 85, 117-124.
- Smith J. L., McNeal B. L. and Cheng H. H. (1985) Estimation of soil microbial biomass: an analysis of the respiratory response of soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 17, 11-16.
- Sorenson L. H. (1974) Rate of decomposition of organic matter in soil as influenced by repeated air dryingrewetting and repeated additions of organic material. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 6, 287-292.
- Tiedje J. M. (1982) Denitrification. In Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties

(A. L. Page, Ed.), pp. 1011-1026, Agronomy 9. American Society of Agronomy Madison.

- Van Schreven D. A. (1967) The effect of intermittent drying and wetting of a calcareous soil on carbon and nitrogen mineralization. *Plant and Soil* 26, 14–32.
- Verhagen F. J. M. and Laanbroek H. J. (1991) Competition for ammonium between nitrifying and heterotrophic bacteria in dual energy-limited chemostats. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 57, 3255–3263.
- Wildung R. E., Garland T. R. and Buschbom R. L. (1975) The interdependent effects of soil temperature and water content on soil respiration rate and plant root decomposition in arid grassland soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 7, 373-378.
- Wuebbles D. J. and Edmonds J. (1988) A primer on greenhouse gases, report DOE/NBB0083, U.S. Department of Energy, Carbon Dioxide Research Division, Washington, D.C.